# Non-Representative Surveys: Modes, Dynamics, Party, and Likely Voter Space

Tobias Konitzer, S. Corbett-Davies, David M. Rothschild • Published 2017 • Political Science

State-level forecasts of the popular vote in the 2016 presidential election experienced a large, correlated error in a number of ultimately pivotal states. These forecasts were created by interpreting and aggregating traditional, representative surveys by major news websites. At the same time, we collected responses in a very different kind of non-representative survey: weekly random draws from a non-representative mobileonly panel. Building on work by Wang et al. (2015), we used this mobile-phone-only data in combination with high end analytics to develop the first 51-state projection based on mobile-data only we are aware of. Specifically, we ran our repeated crosssection through dynamic MRP+ (Modeling and Poststratification). On the survey side, we model the probability that any random respondent, with any combination of specified demographics, would vote for Hillary Clinton, Donald Trump, or other. On the projection side, we use a triangulation of a full updated voter file, Census data, and other historical snapshots of the electorate, to project those probabilities onto an estimated voter space for 2016. Our approach has significant advantages compared to previous MRP-based predictions of elections. We (1) develop a fully dynamic model to disentangle changes in sample composition over time from true swings and compensate for smaller sample sizes in some sub-groups, (2) expand on measures to correct for partisan response bias, (3) estimate the likely voter population directly, instead of relying on naive estimators of previous turnout through exit polls, general population through census data, or demonstrating ex-post predictions based on actual turnout. We show that (a) our forecasting model on a single poll is at least as accurate (if not more) as poll aggregations of public traditional polls, and (b) magnitudes cheaper to conduct. In closing, we discuss ways to guantify uncertainty in our methodology, and elaborate on the future of forecasts based on non-representative polls. Collapse

[PDF] blog.predictwise.com		
Save	🌲 Alert	
	Figures	
	5 Citations	
	20 References	
	Related Papers	

## Figures from this paper

## 5 Citations

Background Citations	1	
Methods Citations	2	
View All		

<complex-block></complex-block>	Figure 2	more the second	Figure 4	
5 Citations Search authors, F. Q. Citation Type ~ Has PDF Author ~ More Filters More Filters Filters Sort by Relevance ~				
Experimental Electionomics: How Election Forecasts Influence Voter Turnout         B. Kaplan       Political Science + 2019         We create forecasts as a way of reducing the uncertainty that surrounds uncertain events.Often, forecasts provide information that can be acted upon, and in the case of elections, theiruse as a Expand <b>66 2</b> PDF <b>Save</b>				
Do Partisans Make Riskier Financial Decisions When their Party is in Power?         Political Science - 2019         This article studies the effect of an electoral victory or loss on partisans' economic behaviors. Using a novel dataset of searches for cars, houses, and stock among over 50,000 searchers with known Expand <b>66</b> 1       PDF       ≡ 1 Excerpt       ■ Save				
A Digital Field Experiment Reveals Large Effects of Friend-to-Friend Texting on Voter Turnout         Aaron Schein       Keyon Vafa       +5 authors       D. Green       Political Science, Computer Science · Social Science Research Network · 2020         TLDR       This paper evaluates a promising alternative to face-to-face get-out-the-vote tactics: mobile app technology that enables				

millions of people to message their friends to urge them to vote, and suggests that friend- to-friend texting is an effective alternative to conventional voter mobilization tactics. Expand



 Aaron Schein
 Keyon Vafa
 +5 authors
 D. Green
 Political Science, Computer Science
 The Web Conference
 2021

TLDR This study identifies statistically significant treatment effects from friend-to-friend mobilization efforts that are among the largest reported in the get-out-the-vote (GOTV) literature and is among the first to assess these effects experimentally. Expand

Economic Expectations, Voting, and Economic Decisions around Elections





## Stay Connected With Semantic Scholar

Your E-mail Address

Product

Sign Up

#### What Is Semantic Scholar?

Semantic Scholar is a free, Al-powered research tool for scientific literature, based at Ai2.

Learn More

## About About Us Publisher

Publishers Semantic Read Blog Scholar's Hub Ai2 Careers Beta Program Release Notes

## API

API Overview API Tutorials API Documentation API Gallery

## Research

Publications Research Careers Resources

## Help

FAQ Librarians Tutorials Contact

